GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

"Kamat Towers" 7th Floor, Patto Plaza, Panaji, Goa – 403 001 Tel: 0832 2437880 E-mail: <u>spio-gsic.goa@nic.in</u> Website: <u>www.scic.goa.gov.in</u>

Shri. Sanjay N. Dhavalikar, State Information Commissioner

Appeal No. 282/2023/SIC

Shri. Jawaharlal T. Shetye, H. No. 35/A, Ward No. 11, Khorlim, Mapusa-Goa 403507. **v/s**

 The Public Information Officer, The Awal Karkun,
O/o The Mamlatdar of Bardez,
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa 403507.

2. The First Appellate Authority, Mamlatdar of Bardez Taluka, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa 403507.

-----Respondents

-----Appellant

Relevant dates emerging from appeal:

: 27/03/2023
: 11/05/2023
: 12/05/2023
: Nil
: 14/08/2023
: 06/12/2023

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The second appeal filed under Section 19 (3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') by the appellant, against Respondent No. 1, Public Information Officer (PIO) and Respondent No. 2, First Appellate Authority (FAA), came before the Commission on 14/08/2023.
- 2. The brief facts of this appeal, as contended by the appellant are that he had sought certain information from the PIO, upon not getting complete information within the stipulated period, he filed appeal before the FAA. The said appeal was not decided by the FAA within the mandatory period. Being aggrieved, appellant preferred second appeal before the Commission.
- Notice was issued to the concerned parties and the matter was taken up for hearing. Appellant appeared and pressed for the information. Shri. Rupesh Kerkar, PIO appeared in person and filed reply dated 16/10/2023, alongwith enclosures.
- 4. PIO stated that, he had furnished the available information to the appellant within the stipulated period. Later, during the current

appeal proceeding he issued fresh memorandum to the respective court clerk of Joint Mamlatdar-V of Bardez for additional information if any and accordingly the PIO has provided inspection and requested information to the appellant.

- 5. Appellant who was present on 01/11/2023 submitted that he had sought information on five points and has received from PIO information on point no. 1 to 4. Thus, he requests the Commission to direct the PIO to furnish him the remaining information.
- 6. Upon perusal of the available records of the present matter it is seen that, the appellant had requested for information on five points. The application was responded by the PIO, however, only part information was furnished. Upon careful perusal it is noted that, during the present appeal proceeding PIO furnished additional information, yet appellant has not received information on point no. 5 of his application. This being the case the PIO is required to furnish the remaining information.
- 7. Hence, the instant appeal is disposed with the following order:-

The PIO is directed to furnish information on point no. 5, sought by the appellant vide application dated 27/03/2023, within 10 days from receipt of this order, free of cost.

Proceeding stands closed.

Pronounced in the open court.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any, may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition, as no further appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

> Sd/-**Sanjay N. Dhavalikar** State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission, Panaji-Goa.